

REMARKS

This communication is a full and timely response to the non-final Office Action dated July 8, 2009. Claims 1-5, 10-13, 15, 26, 20-27, and 30-37 remain pending, where claims 6-8, 14, and 16-19 were previously canceled. By this communication, claims 9 and 28 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer of the underlying subject matter and claims 1, 10-13, 20, 23, 29-32, and 36 are amended.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the indication that claims 9, 20, 28, and 29 contain allowable subject matter.

Objections to the Specification

On page 2 of the Office Action, the specification stands objected to for failing to provide a proper antecedent basis. In an effort to expedite prosecution, Applicants have amended the claims in a manner that addresses the Examiner's concern with respect to the specification. Withdrawal of this rejection, therefore, is respectfully requested.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 1-5, 9-13, 15, 16, and 20-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, for alleged indefiniteness. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection. However, in an effort to expedite prosecution, Applicant's claims are amended to address these concerns. Withdrawal of this rejection, therefore, is respectfully requested.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §§102 and 103

Applicant's claims stand variously rejected for an alleged lack of novelty and obviousness over the prior art. In particular, claims 1-5, 10-13, 21-27, 30-32, and 34-36 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) for alleged anticipation by *Chasen et al.* (US 6,760,721); claims 15 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) for alleged unpatentability over *Chasen*; and claims 16 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) for alleged unpatentability over *Chasen* in view of *Pfaffenberger et al.* ("Microsoft Windows 98 and the Internet," (1999)). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections. However, in an effort to expedite prosecution, claims 1, 13, 23, and 36 are amended to include allowable subject matter recited in claims 9 and 28. Therefore, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, claims 1-5, 10-13, 15, 26, 20-27, and 30-37 are allowable and this application is in condition for allowance. In the event any issues adverse to the patentability of this application remain, the PTO is encouraged to contact Applicant's representative identified below.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

Date: October 8, 2009

By: /Shawn B. Cage/
Shawn B. Cage
Registration No. 51522

Customer No. 21839
703 836 6620